

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,758	01/30/2004	Theodore Thomas Blackmon	107051-0023	7062
24267 7590 09/15/2009 CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP 88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ANTONIENKO, DEBRA L	
BOSTON, MA	. 02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/768,758	BLACKMON, THEODORE THOMAS
Examiner	Art Unit
DEBRA ANTONIENKO	3689

	DEBRA ANTONIENKO	3689			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-densions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CF81 1/369. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified adove, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply will by statute, cause the application to become ARAMCONED (SO U.SC. § 133). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raply will by statute, cause the application to become ARAMCONED (SO U.SC. § 135). and particularly filed material statute of the provided from the mailing date of the communication, even if anyth filed, may reclose any caused particularly apply and the file communication, even if anyth filed, may reclose any					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06_Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This . 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expossition of Claims Disposition of Claims	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) □ objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	_				

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) 🔲	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090811

Application/Control Number: 10/768,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 3689

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6 July

2009 has been entered.

2. This is a Non-Final Office Action in response to communications received 6 July

2009, wherein:

Claims 1, 8, 14, 15, 17, 21, 24, 29, and 39 have been amended; and

Claims 1-40 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. Amendments to independent method claim 1 are sufficient to overcome the 35

USC § 101 rejections set forth in the previous Office Action dated 19 February 2009.

Therefore, § 101 rejections to claims 1-16 are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/768,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 3689

Claim Objections

 Claims 8 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: Improper grammar: "a selection of in the" in claim 8; "configured sequence a" in claim 17.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 13-17, 19-22, 26-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwegler et al., "New Information Technology Tools Enable Productivity Improvements," 2000 North American Steel Construction Conference Proceedings, 2000, pages 11/3-11/20 (hereinafter Schwegler) in view of Schwegler, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent Number 7,042,468 B2 (hereinafter Schwegler '468).

Regarding Claims 1, 17, 34, 39, Schwegler teaches managing a construction project comprising: generating, by one or more central processor units (CPUs) executing an application, a computerized simulation model for the construction project representing project materials in the construction project (page 11/6, section1.3; Figure 1); mapping

Art Unit: 3689

the project materials represented in the computerized simulation model into constructible elements (page 11/6, ¶4; effective staging and sequencing of work).

Schwegler '468 teaches displaying the constructible elements as three-dimensional objects in a graphical user interface (column 6, lines 46-67; Figure 8). Schwegler teaches 3D/4D simulation modeling for project planning, design and construction management (page 11/5, 1.2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the display of constructible elements in 3D in order to better communicate the design of a construction project.

Schwegler '468 further teaches determining at least one work step for each constructible element (column 2, lines 22-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify and include in Schwegler's simulation the capability of Schwegler '468 to break down a project into smaller elements in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively. It is well known to break down large projects into smaller, more manageable segments in order to complete the project.

Schwegler '468 further teaches receiving a selection in the graphical user interface of at least one constructible element represented as a three-dimensional object to create a work package in the computerized simulation model, the work package comprising the at least one constructible element and the at least one work step for the at least one

Art Unit: 3689

constructible element (column 5, line 53 – column 6, line 67; Figure 4; Figure 8; Figure 12. element 204. select 3D component).

Schwegler further teaches sequencing a plurality of work packages for release to work crews (page 11/6, section 1.3, all project and construction manager should have project information available at the right level of detail and scope... staging and sequencing of work enables efficient use of resources and minimizes the waste of labor and materials).

Regarding Claims 2 and 19, Schwegler further teaches organizing the constructible elements into construction areas in the computerized simulation model (Figure 2).

Regarding Claims 4 and 20, Schwegler further teaches organizing the constructible elements into systems for testing and turnover in the computerized simulation model (Figure 2; page 11/9, section 2.3; page 11/11, section 3.1.2).

Regarding Claims 6, 26, 35, and 40, Schwegler further teaches generating a visual display of the computerized simulation model (page 11/5, section 1.2).

Regarding Claims 7, 27, and 36, Schwegler further teaches generating an interactive three-dimensional graphical display of the computerized simulation model (page 11/5, section 1.2; page 11/10, section 2.5).

Art Unit: 3689

Regarding Claims 8, 21, 22, and 38, Schwegler '468 further teaches wherein receiving a selection in the graphical user interface of the at least one constructible element further comprises allowing a user to point-and-click on the at least one constructible element in a visual display of the computerized simulation model to select the at least one constructible element (column 5, line 53 – column 6, line 67, *Drag and drop supports linkage to CAD Components*). Schwegler teaches links between any level of detail of the product and process models (page 11/5, section 1.2) and links between 3D components and activities (page 11/10, section 2.5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide point-and-click capabilities in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively.

Regarding Claims 13, 28, and 37, Schwegler teaches an interactive three dimensional simulation (page 11/5, section 1.2).

Regarding Claim 14, Schwegler teaches wherein sequencing a plurality of work packages for release to work crews (page 11/6, section 1.3, all project and construction manager should have project information available at the right level of detail and scope... staging and sequencing of work enables efficient use of resources and minimizes the waste of labor and materials). Schwegler '468 teaches receiving a selection of the work packages in a visual display of the computerized simulation model via a graphical user interface (Figures 1, 7a, and 7b). It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3689

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sequence tasks accordingly in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively.

Regarding Claims 15 and 29, Schwegler teaches assigning the work package to a work crew in response (page 11/6, section 1.3, all project and construction manager should have project information available at the right level of detail and scope). Schwegler further teaches use of the Internet which allows parties who are given project access to the 4D information to create, revise, and view 4D models. This gives the team members direct access to the 4D project database (page 11/10, first bullet). Schwegler '468 teaches receiving a selection of the work package in a visual display of the computerized simulation model via a graphical user interface (Figures 1, 7a, and 7b). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to assign tasks accordingly in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively.

Regarding Claim 16, Schwegler teaches accessing engineering data for the construction project in a database, wherein generating a computerized simulation model is based on the engineering data; and accessing manufacturing data for the construction project in an other database, wherein mapping the project materials into constructible elements is based on the manufacturing data (page 11/10, sections 2.4 and 2.5; page 11/17, section 6.3).

Art Unit: 3689

Regarding Claim 30, Schwegler further teaches a reprioritization module configured to reprioritize the sequence of the work packages (page 11/10, section 2.5; re-sequence).

Regarding Claim 31, Schwegler further teaches analyzing spatial constraints between components and activities (page 11/11, section 3.1.3).

Regarding Claim 32, Schwegler further teaches a verification module configured to analyze resource constraints for the construction project to determine whether a work crew can execute the work package subject to the constraints (page 11/9, section 2.2; verify whether the design is buildable; page 11/15, section 5.2; verification of constructability and verification of site constraints in design and schedule).

Regarding Claim 33, Schwegler does not explicitly teach a converter module configured to convert data accessed from an external database into a common format for use in a matching module. However, Schwegler does disclose use of the web (page 11/10, section 2.4), the use of e-commerce (page 11/17, section 6.5), and the importance of information exchange (page 11/16. sections 6.1 and 6.2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the capability of accessing data from other databases in order to facilitate project management.

Art Unit: 3689

8. Claims 3, 5, 9-12, 18, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwegler in view of Schwegler '468 and further in view of Kroeger, U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0165723 A1 (hereinafter Kroeger).

Regarding Claims 3 and 18, neither Schwegler nor Schwegler '468 explicitly teach organizing the constructible elements into construction crafts in the computerized simulation model. However, Kroeger discloses organizing the construction into the different crafts (Table 1A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to separate the elements into the different crafts in order to be able to hire the particular subcontractor to do the job. It is well known that subcontractors are by craft, i.e., electrical, plumbing, carpentry.

Regarding Claim 5, neither Schwegler nor Schwegler '468 explicitly teach prioritizing procurement of the constructible elements based on target installation dates of the constructible elements. However, Kroeger discloses prioritizing procurement based on target installation dates ([0005]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to prioritize procurement based on target installation dates in order to save time and money. This is well known.

Regarding Claims 9 and 23, neither Schwegler nor Schwegler '468 explicitly teach providing status information. However, Kroeger discloses providing status information ([0153]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 3689

invention to provide status information of tasks in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively.

Regarding Claim 10, Kroeger further discloses keeping track of tasks completed ([0154]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to keep track of when tasks are completed in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively. This is well known.

Regarding Claims 11 and 24, Kroeger further discloses time estimates for tasks ([0113]; [0187]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create time estimates of tasks in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively. This is well known.

Regarding Claims 12 and 25, Kroeger further discloses cost estimates for tasks ([0113]; [0174]; Table 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create cost estimates of tasks in order to manage the project efficiently and effectively. This is well known.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBRA ANTONIENKO whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3689

(571)270-3601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janice Mooneyham can be reached on 571-272-6805. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DA

/Tan Dean D. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3689